UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

IN RE: Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11

EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,

110 U.S. Courthouse

121 West Spring Street

New Albany, IN 47150

Debtor.

March 12, 2012

10:25 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE BASIL H. LORCH, III UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Your Community Reed, Weitkamp, Schell & Vice, PLLC

By: MICHAEL WAYNE OYLER, ESQ. Bank:

500 West Jefferson Street, No. 2400

Louisville, KY 40202-2856

For Superior Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald

Livestock, et al.: By: C.R. BOWLES, JR., ESQ.

JOHN W. AMES, ESQ. 101 South 5th Street

Louisville, KY 40202

Audio Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609)586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For James A. Knauer: Faegre, Baker, Daniels, LLP

KEVIN M. TONER, ESQ. By:

SEAN WHITE, ESQ.

300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-1750

2

Kroger Gardis & Regas, LLP By: JAMES A. KNAUER, ESQ. 111 Monument Circle, Suite 900 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5125

For Fifth Third Bank: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP

> By: RANDALL D. LaTOUR, ESQ. MELISSA S. GIBERSON, ESQ. 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

For Blue Grass

DelCotto Law Group, PLLC Stockyards, et al.:

By: LAURA DAY DelCOTTO, ESO.

200 North Upper Street Lexington, KY 40507-1017

For Joplin and Superior: Rubin & Levin, P.C.

By: ELLIOT D. LEVIN, ESQ.

500 Marott Center

342 Massachusetts Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204-2161

For First Bank: Faruki, Ireland & Cox, P.L.L.

By: DANIEL J. DONNELLON, ESQ. 201 East Fifth Street, Suite 1420

Cincinnati, OH 45202

For Rosenbaum Feeder

Cattle:

Stites & Harbison, PLLC

By: WILLIAM ROBERT MEYER, II, ESO.

400 West Market Street Louisville, KY 40202-3352

For People's Bank and

Trust Company:

Foley, Bryant & Holloway By: LISA KOCH BRYANT, ESQ.

500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2450

Louisville, KY 40202

For Patsy and Thomas

Gibson:

O'Koon Hintermeister, PLLC By: FRED R. SIMON, ESQ.

1100 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For Fifth Third Bank: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP

By: KENT A. BRITT, ESQ.

52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP

3

By: ERIC RICHARDSON, ESQ. 221 East Fourth Street Suite 2000, Atrium Two Cincinnati, OH 45202

For Kathryn Pry: Dale & Eke

By: DEBORAH CARUSO, ESQ.

9100 Keystone Crossing, Suite 400

Indianapolis, IN 46240-2159

For Joplin and Superior: Rubin & Levin, P.C.

By: JOHN M. ROGERS, ESQ.

CHRISTOPHER M. TRAPP, ESQ.

500 Marott Center

342 Massachusetts Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204-2161

For the Office of U.S.

Trustee:

Office of the U.S. Trustee By: CHARLES R. WHARTON, ESQ.

101 West Ohio Street, Suite 1000

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For First Bank and

Trust Company:

Ayres, Carr & Sullivan, P.C. By: JOHN R. CARR, III, ESQ.

BRET S. CLEMENT, ESQ.

251 East Ohio Street, Suite 500 Indianapolis, IN 46204-2186

Faruki, Ireland & Cox, P.L.L. By: STEPHEN A. WEIGAND, ESQ.

201 East Fifth Street, Suite 1420

Cincinnati, OH 45202

For Rex Elmore: Stuart & Branigin, LLP

By: JASON W. COTTRELL, ESQ. 300 Main Street, Suite 900

Lafayette, IN 47902

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For J&F Oklahoma Naman, Howell, Smith & Lee, PLLC

Holdings, Inc.: By: DAVID L. LeBAS, ESQ.

8310 N. Capital of Texas Highway

4

Suite 490

Austin, TX 78731

For CPC Livestock, LLC: Snell & Wilmer, LLP

By: JESSICA E. YATES, ESQ.

1200 Seventeenth Street, Suite 1900

Denver, CO 80202

For Brent Kuehny,

et al.:

McAfee & Taft, A Professional

Corporation

By: ROSS A. PLOURDE, ESQ.

10th Floor, Two Leadership Square

211 N. Robinson

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

For Arcadia Stockyard,

et al.:

W. Scott Newbern, PL

By: WALTER SCOTT NEWBERN, III, ESQ.

2982 East Gevemy

Tallahassee, FL 32309

For James A. Knauer: Faegre, Baker, Daniels, LLP

By: TERRY E. HALL, ESQ.

300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700

Indianapolis, IN 46204-1750

For Friona Industries,

LLP.:

Sprouse, Shrader, Smith, P.C.

By: JOHN FREDERICK MASSOUH, ESQ.

701 South Taylor, Suite 500

Amarillo, TX 79101

For Peoples Bank of

Coldwater Kansas:

Moye, White, LLP

By: DAVID A. LAIRD, ESQ. 1400 16th Street, Sixth Floor

Denver, CO 80202

For Laurel: Law Offices of Peter M. Gannott

By: PETER M. GANNOTT, ESQ.

12910 Shelbyville Road, Suite 115

Louisville, KY 40243

For Gabriel Moreno: McWhorter, Cobb & Johnson, LLP

By: TODD J. JOHNSTON, ESQ.

1722 Broadway

Lubbock, TX 79401

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

For Bank First and Redman Ludwig, P.C. Edward Strickland: By: ERIC C. REDMAN, ESQ.

151 N. Delaware Street, Suite 1106

5

Indianapolis, IN 46204

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Bankruptcy court is now in 2 session.

1

3

11

15

17

18

19

21

23

THE COURT: Good morning. Be seated. All right, we're on the record in Eastern Livestock. I'm not going to ask 5 the parties on the phone to restate their appearances. I have $6 \parallel$ a list here. I would ask, though, that before you speak, that 7 you identify yourself. Always remember keep your phone on mute 8 unless you're speaking, of course, and don't put us on hold especially if your phone system plays music. I would ask the $10 \parallel$ attorneys in the courtroom to state the appearances please.

MR. TONER: Kevin Toner from Faegre, Baker, Daniels 12 for the trustee, Jim Knauer, who's also here with me. 13∥ Hall is on the telephone and Sean White, special counsel to the 14 trustee, is also present.

MR. LaTOUR: Good morning, Your Honor. Randall LaTour from Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, representing Fifth Third Bank. With me in the courtroom is my associate, Melissa Giberson.

MR. DONNELLON: Good morning, Your Honor. 20 Donnellon, Faruki, Ireland and Cox, for First Bank and Trust.

MR. LEVIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Elliot Levin, 22 Rubin and Levin, on behalf of Superior.

MR. BOWLES: Good morning, Your Honor. Chip Bowles, Bingham, Greenebaum, Doll, for Superior Livestock and a list of 25 other creditors.

1 matters. First continued matter under Roman numeral II, the 2 motion to consolidate (indiscernible), to address that and it 3 may resolve additional items on the agenda.

THE COURT: All right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

17

19

21

22

25

MR. NEWBERN: Your Honor, this is Scott Newbern. Would you like me to address that now?

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Newbern.

MR. NEWBERN: We have been talking per the Court's directions at the last omnibus hearing. I've been speaking to 10 counsel for Fifth -- counsel for the trustee. The trustee asked that I compile a detailed accounting if you will on our 12 claims and we reached an agreement to consolidate all of the 13 various claims, as well. I filed a supplemental attachment of 14∥ that for the trustee and also Fifth Third Bank.

Essentially, we've agreed to consolidate all of the (indiscernible) claims within one proceeding. There are -- in the (indiscernible) adversary proceedings, there are some ancillary claims involving dishonored checks that we really haven't had the opportunity to discuss. But other than that, I 20∥ think we understand where we're going. I've tried to outline the issues again for the Court in a review motion.

I note that the counsel for Fifth Third and counsel 23∥ for trustee generally agree to the consolidation. I don't think they have had the chance, or maybe they have at this point, but they didn't have a chance prior to my filing to

1 review the actual motion paper although -- and I apologize to 2 the Court because I've been dealing with a very balky computer 3 in the last four to five days. But I think we have reached an 4 agreement.

THE COURT: All right, so go ahead, Mr. LaTour, you 6 can respond.

5

7

15

20

21

25

MR. LaTOUR: Well, Your Honor, this is mostly in the 8 nature of housekeeping. I think there are two motions to consolidate in the air at this point. One was Docket Number $10 \parallel 975$ filed by Mr. Newbern a couple of months ago, maybe longer. I think that one is essentially moot at this point having been 12 superseded by the newer one that was filed Sunday night which is entitled, The Unopposed Florida Creditors Rule 7042 Motion 14 to Consolidate.

Mr. Newbern is correct in terms of the procedural 16 relief requested. Fifth Third does not object. I would like 17∥ to note for the record that Fifth Third does not agree with all 18∥ of his fact characterizations that doesn't go to the procedural 19 motion.

I do think, however, that if he's going to consolidate issues in his objections to the trustee's report which deals with who gets what dollars with respect to particular transactions, that the currently pending answers in Rush Creek should be amended to include those counts so that everybody understands exactly what causes of action and what

4

8

9

10

14

16

17

18

21

22

counts any particular discussion is dealing with. Mr. Newbern $2 \parallel$ and I have discussed that previously. I believe he's in 3 agreement with that, but he can speak to that himself.

MR. NEWBERN: That's true, Your Honor. I've 5 overlooked mentioning to the Court. I believe it's in the actual pleading and I did note that they did not have an opportunity to review the document, as well. So no, I would agree to amend whatever pleadings are necessary and file (indiscernible) papers as may be required.

The trustee is in agreement. 7042 seems MR. TONER: 11∥ to be the right procedural technique and some amended pleadings, I think, would help keep things on track in that 13 adversary.

THE COURT: All right. Well, as far as housekeeping first, so the old motion, 975, we should show that as moot per the revised motion. Do you agree with that, Mr. Newbern?

MR. NEWBERN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, and then I guess -- I mean 19 I've read the things that Mr. Newbern has filed and I want to talk about the other one also, the -- has to do with the confidentiality of the documents, but we'll come to that in just a moment. But -- so the Rush Creek interpleader action will now be expanded with the consent of the parties. no longer be strictly in the nature of an interpleader action, but the parties will be seeking a judgment in that action which

1 not only will resolve the disposition of the interpled funds but will also resolve the various claims set forth by Mr. 3 Newbern's clients against the estate.

> MR. TONER: I believe that's right, yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

19

21

25

THE COURT: Is that what everyone's understanding is?

MR. TONER: There are contested objections to the purchase money sales from cattle and those would be part of that proceeding. It seems like there's quite an overlap of legal arguments and facts.

THE COURT: There definitely seems to be an overlap. I don't have any problem with that. I'm just saying that it changes the nature, which I think the rule allows you to do, 13 but it changes the nature of the proceedings.

MR. LaTOUR: Yes, Your Honor, it does change the 15 nature of the proceedings. I think that the bulk of the issues are whether or not the monies that are in contest are property 17 \parallel of the bankruptcy estate or not. But, yes, it is strictly 18∥ speaking going to change it from being solely interpleader action to a broader adversary proceeding covering more issues. 20 You are correct.

THE COURT: All right, I thought that was where we were all going and I think it makes sense in terms of judicial economy. And one of the issues we've been trying to deal with in this case all along is how to consolidate some of these issues for resolution.

12

15

16

18

21

25

All right, then the next matter is the trustee's -- $2 \parallel \text{excuse me}$, the Florida creditor's motion to seal reply to 3 trustee's response and notice of filing of evidentiary 4 materials. And I was handed that envelope this -- or a couple 5 of envelopes this morning. I think what I read this morning $6\parallel$ was that the bank had not -- at least at the time you filed 7∥ that, had not finalized their position as to certain other 8 materials that you wish to submit, I mean whether or not they wish for them to remain sealed and subject to the 10 confidentiality order. Is that still the position or what's 11 your position, Mr. LaTour?

MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, Mr. Newbern and I have not 13 ∥ had the opportunity to go over those materials so, no, we have not finalized that issue. I'd like to continue that, if we could.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any problem with 17 continuing that, Mr. Newbern?

MR. NEWBERN: Your Honor, I don't really have a 19 problem continuing. I would note for the Court that these documents and papers were submitted to the Court before the last omnibus hearing and the end of February I e-mailed Mr. LaTour and sent a letter to that effect asking for what the basis for his objection to the confidentiality were. And I basically am trying to follow the (indiscernible) protective order that requires us to take these steps. But I don't see

them also in the -- as to the merits of your positions.

25

```
14
 1
  that right, Mr. Newbern?
 2
             MR. NEWBERN: It is indeed, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: That kind of was my assumption.
 3
 4
             MR. NEWBERN: (indiscernible)
 5
             THE COURT: Yes, so we're not really talking about
   the consolidation at this point, but you still want to use
 6
 7
   them?
 8
             MR. NEWBERN: Yes, sir.
 9
             THE COURT: All right, okay. April 23rd.
             MR. NEWBERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT:
                        Motion for a protective order, that's
11
12 something that's been pending for some time.
13
             MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, that particular motion is
14 going to occur throughout this agenda because it was filed in
15 \parallel each of the adversary proceedings.
16
             THE COURT: Right.
17
             MR. LaTOUR: It was initially how to deal with the
18∥discovery contemplated by the Rule 2004 motion and then the
19 other adversary matters. The 2004 motions have been largely
20 dealt with. The remaining protocol Mr. Donnellon and I intend
   to address in the next cycle here and we think we'll have
   something for the April 23 omnibus, but we don't have that
23 ready yet.
             THE COURT: All right. Well, there's already been an
24
25 order that granted in part -- left open other aspects as to the
```

1 successor deposition, so I think we'll leave all those matters 2 where they are unless someone has a suggestion as to any of 3 those that need to be further addressed this morning.

Okay, new matters. Ms. Hall, you want to take over 5∥ again?

4

6

8

9

11

13

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HALL: Yes, Your Honor. Items 3, 4 and 5 under 7 new matters all have to deal with the trustee's settlement with People's Bank of Pickett County. I think Mr. Raluy, I thought I heard his name. There's certainly someone on the phone 10 representing -

MS. BRYANT: Your Honor, Lisa Bryant on behalf of 12 People's Bank of Pickett County.

THE COURT: I don't think they'll be able to hear 14 you, Ms. Bryant.

MS. HALL: Essentially, Your Honor, the trustee and 16 the bank have reached an agreement related to a potential 17∥ settlement of a piece of real estate, part of some collateral 18∥ given by -- a loan to Mr. Gibson by People's Bank. We had a motion to approve the compromise and settlement. No objections were filed. We had a motion allowing us to sell the property and split the proceeds -

THE COURT: And then a --

MS. HALL: -- a settlement agreement and we have an employment application to allow us to (indiscernible).

THE COURT: You're cutting out. If you're on a

1 speaker, maybe you should get off the speaker phone. You're cutting out quite a bit.

MS. HALL: Sorry. All right. Essentially, Your 4 Honor, we've got a motion to settle between --

THE COURT: Yes, I heard enough to know what the 6 three motions are. So there's no objection by anyone, right?

MS. BRYANT: Your Honor, there is one minor detail. On Friday evening, Dustin DeNeal and my law partner Tony Raluy worked out a minor amendment to the motion to compromise. Ιt 10 | should not affect any creditors. I don't know if this is --11 Kevin, are you familiar with this?

MR. TONER: Yes, yes.

2

3

5

7

8

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

MS. BRYANT: All right.

MR. TONER: That's all accurate. We discovered 15 another note in Eastern's records and the settlement agreement needed to make it clear that no one -- no director officer of 17∥Pickett County Bank was been released in their official 18∥ capacity and claims against any individuals at the bank who may -- who have notes to Mr. Gibson or Eastern are not part of this release. And so the new settlement agreement captures that.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BRYANT: And, Your Honor, it just provides that they are only being -- the bank officers are only being released in their official capacity and they're -- I notice on the certificate of service it does say this was served on

1 January 30th. I guess we need to change the service date. But other than that, the amendment to the motion to approve the 3 compromise and settlement is accurate. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MS. BRYANT: So should we just file that today, $6\parallel$ change the service date? Or I guess Dustin is the one that 7 prepared it. THE COURT: Just put the corrected -- or the amended 8 9 form of the settlement agreement in the order or refer to it --10 were you going to attach it or --MS. BRYANT: It was -- let's see, the amendment says 11 12 that, specifically, the trustee replaces Exhibit A attached to the settlement motion, Exhibit A being the settlement agreement 14 | --15 THE COURT: That's fine. Just file --MS. BRYANT: -- with Exhibit A attached hereto. 16 17 THE COURT: Just file it then and I'll show that the 18 motion is granted and the settlement agreement is approved as amended by the parties. I'll show the motion for the auction 19 sale is granted. And the application to employ the auctioneer 20 21 is approved. MS. BRYANT: Your Honor, I think that that is all 22 that is before the Court with regard to my client, so is there anything else with regard to Peoples Bank? 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not aware of anything.

parties to stipulate as to facts and set forth remaining issues

25

1 to be resolved as part of the contested matters. Those were 2 right on the heels of Your Honor's ruling on constructive trust 3 issues. We believe those are the only real defenses -- only 4 real objections asserted in those contested matters. We're 5 waiting to hear from the objectors whether they're done or not and so it seemed appropriate to -- for the attorneys to work out a new schedule that move those deadlines off a bit so that they could make up their mind about what happens next.

THE COURT: All right, have you asked for new 10 deadlines or just to stay the deadlines?

8

9

11

14

16 H

17

20

25

To stay those deadlines that were just on MR. TONER: 12 us this week and allow us to follow these new deadlines that we 13 proposed.

THE COURT: All right, I'll grant both of those motions. All right, in the adversary proceedings, we'll start with Downs.

Again, Kevin Toner for the trustee. MR. TONER: 18∥ the Downs matter, we were coming up on pretty much the same deadlines so an attorneys' conference was held about a week ago. We agreed that it would be appropriate for Laurel Livestock to do its voluntary disclosures under the rules and for us to provide other documents that have been obtained informally so that the attorneys could get together and if we can't work out this case, move it to mediation if that makes sense. We're not proposing moving the deadline for dispositive 1 motions or the trial date in the case. But some of these early 2 pretrial deadlines, we ask that those be rescheduled just a bit 3 to allow that to take place.

THE COURT: All right.

4

5

8

9

11

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. DelCOTTO: Your Honor, the other matter that's 6 showing on the agenda in Downs is Blue Grass Stockyards' motion about some documents that were sealed. There's the identical motion in Friona. I've talked to Mr. LaTour and we would just like to continue those to April 23 so we can have some 10 substantive discussions about those.

THE COURT: All right, I'll show that's continued to 12 April 23rd. The motion -- the matter that you spoke about, the deadlines, I don't see that on the agenda, but that is -- is 14 that a pending motion or just something that you all --

MR. TONER: That's a good question. I believe there was a joint motion that we submitted.

MS. DelCOTTO: I don't know whether the order has $18 \parallel$ been entered. There was an agreed entry and joint motion.

THE COURT: Well, we'll check for it and make sure that it is entered.

MR. TONER: If not, we'll resubmit the order.

Very good. All right, Superior. THE COURT:

MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, the only item on the agenda

24 is that echoing -

THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. LaTOUR: -- matter that we've already disposed 2 of. 3 THE COURT: So every time that pops up, should we 4 | just bump it to the 23rd? 5 MR. LaTOUR: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Friona? That's -- the first 6 7 is the matter we just discussed. Then there is a --MS. DelCOTTO: I believe that's also continued, Your 8 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: That's also the confidentiality matter so 11 \parallel we'll bump that to the 23rd. I think there's been some 12 discussion among the attorneys and maybe with my staff about 13 also showing on the agenda the matters that are under $14 \parallel$ advisement in the various adversaries. I don't do that in an attempt to embarrass the Court, but rather to -- just to make sure that we're -- I mean, just keeping track of everything in 17 this case is a nightmare as I'm sure you all know. 18 MR. TONER: I imagined they weren't included so as 19 not to embarrass the Court. We'll get them on there. 20 THE COURT: Well, I want them included. I'm not 21 embarrassed. The -- I mean not yet. You know, these are some interesting and complex matters that have been raised and novel, to say the least. So yes, I would want them. 24 MR. TONER: Arranged by adversary proceeding? 25 THE COURT: And if in any adversary proceeding

1 there's a summary judgment fully briefed that's under $2 \parallel \text{consideration} -- \text{I} \text{ think we know them all.} \text{I} \text{ sure hope none}$ 3 have slipped under the radar, but our pending motions, I think $4\parallel$ we know those also. I guess we'll try to put pending motions on already, yes.

Okay, moving on. And then there was Mr. Newbern's notice of appearance and motion to intervene.

MR. NEWBERN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you still want to intervene in Friona?

MR. NEWBERN: I've agreed with Fifth Third counsel

11 and with the trustee counsel to withdraw that motion --

THE COURT: All right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

MR. NEWBERN: -- on the proviso that we can 14 accomplish the same thing without so much attorney and legal expenses associated with an intervention because I really don't have a dog in the fight for those funds. It may become necessary to change that view later down the road, I'm not sure. I hope not. But, for the time being, that motion has 19 been withdrawn.

THE COURT: Okay, I'll show the motion is withdrawn in open court. I have a question and the clerk's office may already know the answer to this question and the attorneys may already know the answer to this question. But as things are now, if you're not in the Rush adversary, for example, like Mr. 25 Newbern -- he will be in the Rush adversary but not in the

1 Friona adversary -- if you wanted to receive notice of an -- of the pleadings in the adversary, could you do so?

UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: By entering an appearance, 4 Your Honor.

3

5

7

8

15

16

20

21

22

23

25

UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: Only with an appearance, Your 6 Honor. That's what happened to me --

THE COURT: Right. That's what I thought generated this. I wouldn't mind in this case because there are issues that affect parties in going back and forth and in the interest 10 of due process to set something up with the clerk's office to 11∥allow anybody that's entered an appearance in anything in 12 | Eastern to enter an appearance. Now, that does not mean they'll be filing pleadings. I'm not -- you know, they're going to -- if they're going to be a party, that's a whole different step. I'm not talking about them being a party.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: On the CM/ECF, you can set up your 17 utilities to have certain case numbers and receive all notices 18 of case activity but you have to put those specific cases into 19 your utilities under user name.

THE COURT: All right. I'm informed that under CM/ECF, you can -- under your utilities --

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- you can list every adversary number that you want to receive notice -- that you want to be noticed in, but -

1 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, enter account information, 2 user information. THE COURT: Send her account information, user 3 4 information. So, apparently, we have the electronic ability to $5 \parallel$ do that. And if any of you encounter a problem doing that, let 6 us know and we'll try to take down any electronic barriers that 7 might exist. Yes, Mr. LaTour? MR. LaTOUR: Your Honor, just one more housekeeping 8 thing. Mr. Newbern did file a written withdrawal of the motion 9 10 Docket Number 411 in the Friona adversary. THE COURT: Oh, he's already done that? 11 12 MR. LaTOUR: Yes, filed it today on the 12th. THE COURT: All right, well --13 MR. LaTOUR: -- so that has been taken care of. 14 15 THE COURT: -- we'll show it's withdrawn -- his 16 motion is withdrawn. All right, in Innovative. Motion of Fifth Third. That's the same motion. We'll show that's 17 **I** 18 continued to 4/23. Anything else about the status of that that 19 we need to know, Mr. Toner? No? 20 MR. TONER: I'm not aware of anything. THE COURT: Rush Creek. The Florida Creditors Rule 21 7042 motion, that is -- has been -- that's going to be submitted on an agreed entry which the Court will enter an order granting, is that correct?

UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: That's correct.

25

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: The motion of Fifth Third, that's going to be continued 4/23 and the trustee's response to Mr. 3 Newbern's motion is resolved by the agreement that's being 4 submitted. UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: That's right. THE COURT: In Fredin Brothers, same on the Fifth Third's motion, 4/23. All right. Anything else before we move to the related cases that anyone wants to bring to the Court in the Eastern matter? (No audible response) THE COURT: East-West Trucking, trustee's first 12 omnibus objection to claims and notice of response deadline. COURTROOM DEPUTY: That was continued this morning to 14 4/23. THE COURT: That's been continued this morning to 4/23. Who's on for East-West? Is anybody on for East-West? (No audible response) THE COURT: That's the case that Mr. Walro's trustee 19 in? COURTROOM DEPUTY: (indiscernible). THE COURT: Everything has been continued? COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, it's all (indiscernible). THE COURT: All right, then I won't go any further into East-West. We'll show everything continued to 4/23. In 25 the matter of Thomas and Patsy Gibson, I show trustee's motion

1 for third extension of time to file complaint objecting to discharge with the debtor's objection.

3

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. SIMON: Yes, Judge. Fred Simon appearing for the 4 Gibsons. And the motion -- or the objection has been filed to 5 six such motions for continuance. And I think for the record it was just a matter of putting that objection in. here to argue strenuously in favor of the objection, Judge, but they -- it's been a year and they'd like to reach the end of this. But for the record, we filed six objections to similar 10 motions.

THE COURT: Yes, those are in. Yes, I think all 12 those motions are set forth. I mean, I've denied these 13 objections before because it is a big complicated matter but I 14 think you're right. At some point, everyone is going to have to make their determination. How far out are we going on these extensions? I don't recall. How far out are they seeking, most people ?

> Six months, Your Honor. MR. SIMON:

THE COURT: All right.

The change in circumstance is that Mr. MR. SIMON: Gibson has been criminally indicted --

THE COURT: I know that.

MR. SIMON: -- and we're waiting on those proceedings so we're kind of at the mercy of that process before we can really dig in very deeply on these issues.

5

7

8

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: Why is that? Because he can't answer -- $2 \parallel$ he won't answer questions or I mean, is he taking the Fifth or why -- I mean I don't -- I mean it would seem to me like if he 4 was criminally indicted and you've read the indictment, that that might, in fact, supply a basis for non-dischargeability that someone might want to raise. Oftentimes, those coincide.

MR. SIMON: Your Honor, I'd agree that that would get us past the colorable basis to file the complaint in the Rule 11 issue, but it certainly doesn't answer the question whether 10 he committed the criminal conduct or not until he's convicted. And some of the bases for objection of discharge is going to 12 depend on that determination.

Now, in a case that's already starving for resources, if the government is going to do the heavy lifting, many of us are inclined to let them do it and then file a shorter complaint objecting to discharge rather than a lengthier one complete with the discovery cost. But, you know, if you want $18 \parallel$ to tell us this is the last extension, I understand.

THE COURT: No, I'm not going to say it's the last extension. I'm going to say when this six months is up, I'm going to look at it. I'm going to want a little more information and not be inclined to just summarily grant the extensions at that time. That's not to say there won't be good reasons. And I understand your concern about the expense, but, you know, the summary judgment motions reflect -- and all the

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 facts that have been stipulated to so far, go into a course of activity that everybody seems to be pretty much aware of.

Now, we haven't heard Mr. Gibson's side of these In fact, I don't think I've ever even seen Mr. Gibson 4 issues. 5 and that may remain true throughout this case. I don't know. But I'm going to show those motions for extension are granted over objection and that would apply to trustee's (indiscernible) objection, the First Bank's objection, trustee Knauer's motion, U.S. trustee's motion, Fifth Third's motion and Blue Grass Company's motion. Those are all granted and a six-month extension is entered.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Put them on the same day?

THE COURT: Yes. Let's just set a date. Now, have 14∥ you all submitted orders? I mean, I don't think it's fair to the Gibsons -- I think it would be fair to have one date that everything is extended to. Was that what was sought?

UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEY: I believe they were all 18 separate, Judge.

THE COURT: So when did they run now?

MS. HALL: The requested date, Your Honor, was August 28th, 2012.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I can barely hear you.

MS. HALL: The phone connection is bad. August 28th of this year is 180 days from the current extension.

THE COURT: Okay, I see. Okay, I've just been handed

1 something that had the dates for every motion. Some just said $2 \parallel --$ it looks like 9/4 was the most common date picked so let's 3 say they're all extended to September 4th at this point. So if $4\parallel$ you need to resubmit an order with that date in it -- some of them just said 180 days, some said the 28th. Let's say --

COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can do the order.

THE COURT: Oh, never mind. We don't need orders. Kristin will do the orders and we'll make them all September 4th. Thank you. All right. Anything further today?

MR. WHITE: Yes, Your Honor. Sean White, special counsel.

> THE COURT: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17 II

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WHITE: At the December omnibus hearing, the Court ordered special counsel to submit a preliminary report within 90 days. Our report is due tomorrow. We would ask the Court's indulgence to have a short extension of time until Friday of this week. We were in a 2004 examination until 6 18 p.m. in Cincinnati on Friday and have conducted twelve 2004 examinations over the last three weeks and we would ask the Court's indulgence to have a short extension of time to submit that preliminary report to the Court until Friday.

THE COURT: Yes, those took longer than I think maybe anybody anticipated so I'll give you to a week from Friday to submit that.

MR. WHITE: I should probably add, Judge, that the

31 1 to be there. I'd rather be in Louisville like Kentucky is, but 2 we're in Portland. Although I don't think they did Kentucky 3 any huge favors giving them UConn in the second round. That's 4 interesting. All right, we are adjourned. Thank you, all. 5 6 7 8 <u>CERTIFICATION</u> 9 I, MARY POLITO, court approved transcriber, certify 10 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official 11 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 12 above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability. 13 14 /s/ Mary Polito 16 MARY POLITO 17 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: March 26, 2012 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25